IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH OF THE COMMONWEALTH SYSTEM OF) Civil Action No.: 2:08-cv-01307					
HIGHER EDUCATION d/b/a UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH						
Plaintiff,	Judge Arthur J. Schwab					
v.))					
	FILED ELECTRONICALLY					
VARIAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC.						
Defendant.))					
FINAL VERDICT FORM						
Based on the evidence admitted at tria by the Court, we, the jury, unanimously agree	al and in accordance with the instructions as given to the answers to the following questions:					
CLAIM 20						
1. Has the University of Pittsburg Varian's arguments that Claim 20 of the '554	gh proven by clear and convincing evidence that patent is invalid are unreasonable?					
Yes No						
If the answer to question 1 is "yes," ar "no," skip question 2 and go to question 3.	nswer question 2. If your answer to question 1 is					
	periods, please state whether the University of g evidence that Varian knew, or it was so obvious ghly likely it was infringing a valid patent:					
a. From June 2002 through April the '554 patent) Yes No	13, 2007 (the day Pitt sued Varian for infringing					
b. From April 13, 2007 (the day Pitt sued Varian for infringing the '554 patent) through March 31, 2010 (the day the US Patent Office issued its Notice of Inte to Issue Reexamination Certificate)						
Yes No						

	c. From March 31, 2010 (the day the US Patent Office issued its Notice of Intent to Issue Reexamination Certificate) to the present.		
	Yes No		
CLAIM 21			
	las the University of Pittsburgh proven by clear and convincing evidence that ents that Claim 21 of the '554 patent is invalid are unreasonable?		
Y	Yes No		
	swer to question 3 is "yes," answer question 4. If your answer to question 3 is on 4 and go to question 5.		
Pittsburgh has pr	or each of the following time periods, please state whether the University of roven by clear and convincing evidence that Varian knew, or it was so obvious ld have known, that it was highly likely it was infringing a valid patent:		
	rom June 2002 through April 13, 2007 (the day Pitt sued Varian for infringing ne '554 patent)		
	Yes No		
th	rom April 13, 2007 (the day Pitt sued Varian for infringing the '554 patent) arough March 31, 2010 (the day the US Patent Office issued its Notice of Intent o Issue Reexamination Certificate)		
	Yes No		
	rom March 31, 2010 (the day the US Patent Office issued its Notice of Intent to sue Reexamination Certificate) to the present.		
	Yes No		
CLAIM 22			
	las the University of Pittsburgh proven by clear and convincing evidence that ents that Claim 22 of the '554 patent is invalid are unreasonable?		
Y	Yes No		
	swer to question 5 is "yes," answer question 6. If your answer to question 5 is ion 6 and go to question 7.		

	For each of the following time periods, please state whether the University of proven by clear and convincing evidence that Varian knew, or it was so obvious ould have known, that it was highly likely it was infringing a valid patent:		
a.	From June 2002 through April 13, 2007 (the day Pitt sued Varian for infringing the '554 patent)		
	Yes No		
b.	From April 13, 2007 (the day Pitt sued Varian for infringing the '554 patent) through March 31, 2010 (the day the US Patent Office issued its Notice of Intent to Issue Reexamination Certificate)		
	Yes No		
c.	From March 31, 2010 (the day the US Patent Office issued its Notice of Intent to Issue Reexamination Certificate) to the present.		
	Yes No		
CLAIM 25			
7. Varian's argui	Has the University of Pittsburgh proven by clear and convincing evidence that ments that Claim 25 of the '554 patent is invalid are unreasonable?		
	Yes No		
	answer to question 7 is "yes," answer question 8. If your answer to question 7 is estion 8 and go to question 9.		
8. Varian knew, infringing a va	Has the University of Pittsburgh proven by clear and convincing evidence that or it was so obvious that Varian should have known, that it was highly likely it was alid patent?		
	Yes No		
CLAIM 26			
9. Varian's argu	Has the University of Pittsburgh proven by clear and convincing evidence that ments that Claim 26 of the '554 patent is invalid are unreasonable?		
	Yes No		
If the answer to question 9 is "yes," answer question 10. If your answer to question 9 is "no," skip question 10 and go to question 11.			

10. Has the University of Pittsburgh proven by clear and convincing evidence that Varian knew, or it was so obvious that Varian should have known, that it was highly likely it was infringing a valid patent?				
	Yes No			
CLAIM 36				
11. Varian's argum	Has the University of Pittsburgh proven by clear and convincing evidence that ments that Claim 36 of the '554 patent is invalid are unreasonable?			
	Yes No			
	answer to question 11 is "yes," answer question 12. If your answer to question 11 question 12 and go to question 13.			
12. Varian knew, infringing a va	Has the University of Pittsburgh proven by clear and convincing evidence that or it was so obvious that Varian should have known, that it was highly likely it was alid patent?			
	Yes No			
CLAIM 38				
13. Varian's argum	Has the University of Pittsburgh proven by clear and convincing evidence that ments that Claim 38 of the '554 patent is invalid are unreasonable?			
	Yes No			
	answer to question 13 is "yes," answer question 14. If your answer to question 13 question 14 and complete the form.			
14. Varian knew, infringing a va	Has the University of Pittsburgh proven by clear and convincing evidence that or it was so obvious that Varian should have known, that it was highly likely it was alid patent?			
	Yes No			

You have now reached the end of the verdict form and should review it to ensure it
accurately reflects your unanimous determinations. All jurors should then sign and date the
verdict form in the spaces below and notify the bailiff that you have reached a verdict. The
Foreperson should retain possession of the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brought
back into the courtroom.

X	_ Foreperson	X
X	_	X
X	_	X
X	_	X
DATED:	012	